

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/694,574	10/24/2000		ATTOIGNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/24/2000	Young Jin Oh	8733.007.01	2428	
	590 09/10/2003				
MCKENNA I	LONG & ALDRIDGI				
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER OLD THE OLD T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				- THE EXTROMBER	
			. 2871		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003		
			••		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summary		09/694,574	OH ET AL.	OH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	The SAAII INO DATE AND	Mike Qi	2871	4	
- External e	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street with the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street within the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by street within the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set or	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a seried will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON at the cause the application to become	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely  THS from the mailing date of this of		
Status eame	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and an an annual country over the	unitery filed, may reduce any		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	01 August 2003 .			
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	owance except for formal mailer Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to th D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is	
	Claim(s) <u>42,44-56 and 58-69</u> is/are pending				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the	Irawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>42,44-56 and 58-69</u> is/are rejected				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
O)∟∟ Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.			
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		ne Examiner		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ di	· •	r.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		.,		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E se the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))		Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes			application	
a) ∣ 15)∐ Ad	$\square$ The translation of the foreign language ${\sf p}$ cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has be	en received	аррпоаци	
ttachment(s	s)				
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-	) ·152)	
Patent and Trad	. 04.043	Action Summary			

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 42,44-56,58-69 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,281,957 in view of US 5,852,485 (Shimada et al) and US 5,929,958 (Ohta et al).

Claims 42,44-56,58-69, especially claims 42 and 56, of this application have corresponding limitations with the claims 1-41, especially the claims 14 and 41 of the patent US 6,281,957 except a few wording are different, such as the limitations "a transparent first metal layer and a transparent second metal layer <u>directly on</u> the gate insulator", "a plurality of thin film transistors <u>at crossing points</u> of the gate and data bus lines", "a passivation layer <u>formed substantially on</u> the common line and thin film transistors" of this application; and the limitations "a transparent first metal layer including a plurality of first electrodes and a transparent second metal layer including a

Application/Control Number: 09/694,574

Art Unit: 2871

plurality of second electrodes <u>on</u> the gate insulator", " a plurality of thin film transistors formed <u>at respective crossing areas</u> of the gate and data bus lines", " a passivation layer <u>on</u> the common line and the thin film transistors" of the patent US 6,281,957 are different, and that would be substantially obviousness-type double limitations.

Concerning the limitation " a gate insulator on the first substrate" and "forming a gate insulator on the first substrate" that was a conventional structure of a liquid crystal display. Shimada discloses (col.1, line 25 – col.2, line 38; Figs.24-25) that on the lower glass plate (21) is formed the gate insulation film (23), and that is a conventional configuration of a liquid crystal display.

Concerning the dependent claims 44–55 and 58-69, all the limitations are related to an obviousness configuration of a liquid crystal display.

Claims 44 and 58, Shimada disclose (col. 11, line 15 – col.12, line21, Figs.1-3) that the TFT (122) includes a gate electrode (15) on the first substrate lower plate (120), a semiconductor layer (114) on the gate electrode (15), source electrodes (111) and drain electrodes (112) on the semiconductor layer (114).

Claims 45-46 and 59-60, Shimada disclose (col.11, lines 31-53; Figs.1-3) that the drain electrode (112) is connected to the picture element electrode (12 as the transparent first metal layer) through a connecting electrode (16) and a contact hole (17), the source electrode (111) is connected to the source line (14 as data line), and each counter electrode (as the second transparent metal layer) is connected to the common line through contact hole (col. 4, lines 23-26), i.e., the transparent second metal layer is connected to the common line.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,574

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 47-48 and 61-62, Shimada disclose (col. 11, lines 32-53; Figs.1-3) that the connecting electrode (16) is connected to the picture element electrode (12), so that the electrode (16) also functions as pixel electrode, and the part of the electrode (16) overlapping the common line to form a storage capacitor. The part of the electrode (16) also overlapping the counter electrode (11) (Fig.3), so that forming another storage capacitor

Claims 49-50 and 63-64, Shimada disclose (col.12, lines 58-63; Figs.1-3) that the picture element electrode (12, 16) and the counter electrode (11) are formed of conductive material, e.g., ITO transparent conductive material, i.e., a transparent first metal layer (data electrode or pixel electrode) and a transparent second metal layer (counter electrode or common electrode).

Claims 51-52, 54-55 and 65-66,68-69, Shimada disclose (col.13, line 66 – col. 14, line 9; Figs 1-3) that a first alignment layer (116) on the first substrate (128) and the second alignment layer (117) on the second substrate (127), and the material for the alignment layer is polyimide.

Claims 53 and 67, Ohta discloses (col.19, lines 26-39, col.20, lines 26-37; Fig.7) that an in-plane liquid crystal display device comprising a black matrix (BM) layer on the second substrate (SUB2), a color filter (FIL) on the black matrix layer (BM) and a liquid crystal layer (LC) between the first and second substrates (SUB1, SUB2), such that to improve the contrast and to prevent external light goes to the semiconductor layer (AS) of the TFT, so that protecting the TFT, and using color filter to display color signal.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at time the invention was made to arrange the black matrix, color filters as claimed in claims 53 and 67 for improving the contrast and display color signal.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Aug.26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Applicant's only arguments are as follows:

1) The references Shimada and Ota do not disclose the passivation layer formed substantially on the common line and thin film transistors as claimed in claims 42 and 56.

# Examiner's responses to Applicant's only arguments are as follows:

1) The independent claims 42 and 56 of this application have corresponding limitations with the claims 1-41, especially the claims 14 and 41 of the patent US 6,281,957 except a few wording are different, such as the limitation "a passivation layer formed substantially on the common line and thin film transistors" of this application; and the limitation "a passivation layer on the common line and the thin film transistors" of the patent US 6,281,957 are different, and that would be substantially obviousness-type double limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/694,574

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6213.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mike Qi August 31, 2003

CONTRACTOR OF TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXABLE PROPERTY CENTER 2000